



Introduction

- ▶ Harmonic Serialism (HS), a serial derivative of Optimality Theory, captures some aspects of rule ordering. Processes can apply before others through constraint ranking.
- ▶ Some previous work has analyzed opacity in HS (McCarthy 2000, Elfner 2009, Jarosz 2014), but many aspects of opacity have continued to prove problematic.
- ▶ **Proposal:** New classes of faithfulness constraints within HS which reference the underlying representation (UR) of forms and/or add a specific context of application to account for opacity.
 - Counterbleeding: Contextual Faithfulness
 - Counterfeeding: FAITH_{UO}
- ▶ In our paper, we argue that these constraints are induced on a language-specific basis

Harmonic Serialism

- ▶ In HS, GEN is limited to candidates that differ from the input by at most one change.
- ▶ The output of EVAL at one step is the input to the following step.
- ▶ The derivation converges when the fully faithful candidate is optimal (no further change is more harmonic)

Intro: Counterbleeding Opacity

- ▶ Counterbleeding opacity results in surface overapplication
 - ▶ A rule has applied on the surface, but the context for its application is not present
- (1) **Counterbleeding in Arabic:** Sibilants palatalize before high vowels, high vowels delete in open syllables; deleted high vowels remove context for palatalization

	/faribat/	/ħa:kim/	/ħa:kim-in/
Palatalization		ħa:kʲim	ħa:kʲim-in
Deletion	f̥arbat		ħa:kʲim-in
	[f̥arbat]	[ħa:kʲim]	[ħa:kʲim-in]

Intro: Counterfeeding Opacity

- ▶ Counterfeeding opacity results in surface underapplication (Kiparsky ????)
 - ▶ A rule has not applied on the surface, even though the context for its application is present
- (2) **Counterfeeding in Basque:** Low vowels become mid before vowels, mid become high, low do not become high. (Bakovic 2010)
- /alaba-a/ → alabe-a → *alabi-a
 - /seme-e/ → semi-e
- (3) In rules:
- Mid to high raising:** e → i / _V
 - Low to mid raising:** a → e / _V

Analyzing Counterbleeding with Contextual Faithfulness

- ▶ **Contextual Faithfulness:** Like positional faithfulness, but define an input context, and not limited to prosodically prominent positions
- (4) **IDENT(F)/Context**
 If an input segment is [αF] and in context C, then its corresponding output segment must be [αF].
- (5) **MAX(A)/Context**
 An input segment A in context C must have an output correspondent.
- ▶ **EXAMPLE:** For Arabic,
- (6) **MAX(i)/k_:** Assign one * if [i] is deleted when preceded by a non-palatalized voiceless consonant in the input.
- ▶ The context specified by the constraint ceases to exist at some point in the derivation
 - ▶ The constraint serves to protect the feature value or segment until some other process has applied
- (7) **General constraint ranking for counterbleeding:**
 Contextual Faithfulness >> MARKEDNESS >> FAITH-IO

Analysis: Arabic

- ▶ **Arabic: Deletion counterbleeds Palatalization**
 /ħa:kim-in/ → ħa:kʲim-in → [ħa:kʲim-in]

(8) **Step 1: Palatalization occurs**

/ħa:kim-in/	MAX(i)/k_	*iCV	*ki	IDENT[back]	MAX
→ 1. ħa:kʲim-in		*		*	
2. ħa:kmin	*W	L		L	*W
3. ħa:kim-in		*	*W	L	

(9) **Step 2: Deletion occurs**

ħa:kʲim-in	MAX(i)/k_	*iCV	*ki	IDENT[back]	MAX
→ 1. ħa:kʲim-in					*
2. ħa:kʲim-in		*W			L

- ▶ The contextual faithfulness constraint prevents the [i] from deleting until its context is no longer met; i.e. until after palatalization has applied

Analyzing Counterfeeding with FAITH-UO (Hauser et al. 2014)

- ▶ **FAITH-UO:** a set of constraints demanding faithfulness between UR and output.
- (10) **ID-UO(F)/[αG]**
 Do not change the value of F for segments that are [αG] in the UR.
- (11) **ID-UO(F)/_[αG]**
 Do not change the value of F for segments that are **in the environment of** [αG] in the UR.
- ▶ **EXAMPLE:** For Basque,
- (12) **ID-UO(hi)/[+low]:** Do not change the value of [α hi] for segments that are [+low] in the UR.
- ▶ Referring to the UR at every step of the derivation captures the idea that speakers have access to the lexicon throughout the stages of a phonological derivation.
 - ▶ F and G cannot be identical – this is true for any OT-based analysis of counterfeeding.
- (13) **General constraint ranking for counterfeeding:**
 FAITH-UO >> MARKEDNESS >> FAITH-IO

Analysis: Basque chain shift

- ▶ **Basque: Low becomes mid, mid doesn't become high:**
 /alaba-a/ → alabe-a → *alabi-a

(14) **Step 1: /alaba-a/ → alabe-a**

/alaba-a/	ID-UO(hi)/[+low]	*low/_V	*mid/_V	ID-IO(hi)
→ alabe-a				*
alaba-a		*!		

(15) **Step 2: alabe-a → *alabi-a**

/alaba-a/	ID-UO(hi)/[+low]	*low/_V	*mid/_V	ID-IO(hi)
→ alabe-a			*	
alabi-a	*!			

- ▶ **Can analyze all known examples of counterfeeding, including counterfeeding on environment and multi-step counterfeeding derivations** (these incorporate multiple FAITH-UO constraints)